

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/685,707 10/10/00 O QUIN UNR6053P0310 **EXAMINER** PM82/0829 ROCKEY, MILNAMOW & KATZ, LTD. **SUITE 4700 ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE 3613 CHICAGO IL 60601 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/29/01

Office Action Summary

Application No. O9 685, 707 Applicant(s) GUIN

Examiner Art Unit

	C. Bartz	3613		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence add	iress —	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	-			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will 			
be considered timely. / - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v	•	, •	date of this	
 communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, cause the application to become ABANI g date of this communication, even if time	DONED (35 U.S.C ly filed, may reduc). § 133).⁺ √ be any	
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	112/01			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	cept for formal matters, prosecution orte QuayWe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 2	on as to the me	erits is	
Dispesition of Claims				
4) 1 Claim(s) 1 -7		is/are pen	ding in the applica	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdr	rawn from considera	
5) [claim(s)		is/a	re allowed.	
6) 📶 Claim(s)		is/a	re rejected.	
7) 🚉 Claim(s)		is/aı	re objected to.	
5) Claim(s)	are subject to	restriction and	d/or election requirem	
Application Papers				
9) 📑 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a 🔲 approved I	b)⊡disapprovε	ed.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No((s)		
· -	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTG	O-152)		
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-00) Art Unit 3613

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - 2

1. Claim(s) 1 - 7 is(are) rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For example, and as to parent claim 1: applicants claim in the preamble line 1 "A wheeled conveyance of a type designed to be moved...along a supporting surface, the wheeled conveyance having a metal chassis...", and for example in places such as line 5; applicants then claim the metal chassis bein g grounded to the supporting surface.

It becomes unclear from the discrepancy in the preamble (A wheeled conveyance of a type designed to be moved...along a supporting surface - which is a statement of intended use), and the positive grounding of part of the conveyance to a part of the supporting surface; whether applicant intends to claim the subcombination of just the conveyance, or the combination of the conveyance and the supporting surface.

Applicant could overcome this indefinite type rejection and particularly claim the subcombination, by inserting an appropriate phrase in all the

Art Unit 3613

ب نند .

necessary places: such as for example using the phrase -- adapted to be grounded --; in place of the phrase "grounded", in line 5.

The dependent claims are rejected under 35 USC 112 as incorporating the defects of the claims from which they depend.

Claim(s) 1 is(are) rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 2. as being unpatentable over Pullen in view of Takahashi.

Pullen discloses all the structure of the claimed device (see line 59): except for an elongate member. Takahashi is relied upon merely to show that it is known in the art to provide elongate member 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the sweeper of Pullen with a elongate member such as that disclosed in Takahashi, in order to provide a conductive path to the floor (see the end of column 2).

- Claim(s) 2 7 is(are) rejected under 35 U.S.C. 3.
- 4.§ 103 as being unpatentable over Pullen in view of Takahashi and further in view of Warlop.

Pullen in view of Takahashi discloses all the structure of the claimed device: except for an elongate member with the limitations of applicants claims Art Unit 3613

2 - 5. Warlop discloses the some critical limitations of applicants claims 2 - 5 in the second line of column 3. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice dependent on the requirements of the utilization of the wheeled conveyance to have the specific impletation of polymeric, polyvinyl chloride, flexible, tubular qualities; all of which are disclosed in Warlop, in order to accomodate specific implementation. It is maintained that in such an arrangement as Pullen in view of Takahashi, the selection of the parameters disclosed by Warlop is well within the capability of a routineer in the art.

Conclusion

- 5. In Wray, note the wheels, each of which must necessarily have an axle.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bartz whose telephone number is (703)308 2564. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays from 8:30 am to 3:30 pm. (clifford.bartz@uspto.gov) [Fax (703)308 3519]

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful; a message may be left at the Group Receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 1113).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Oberleitner, can be reached on (703) 308 - 2569.

Any further inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may also be directed to the Group Receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 1113.

Clifford T. Bartz

Examiner

Art Unit 3613 - August 21, 2001

Summary:

Total claims = 1 - 7

Rejected claims = 1 - 7